

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

FILED IN OPEN COURT

ON

1/14/11
Dennis P. Iavarone, Clerk
US District Court
Eastern District of NC

United States of America)

v.)

William Correy Talley)

Case No: 3:94-CR-65-5BO

USM No: 14753-056

Date of Previous Judgment: October 3, 2000)

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))

Defendant's Attorney Pro Se

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the _____ defendant _____ under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and the court having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____ months.

If the amount of time the defendant has already served exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence, subject to an additional period of up to ten (10) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain) :

Continued on page 2


III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The guideline imprisonment range resulted from application of the career offender guideline. Application of the 2-level reduction does not have the effect of lowering the applicable guideline range. The defendant's applicable guideline imprisonment range with the 2-level reduction remains unchanged at 360 months to life. Therefore, the defendant's motion is denied.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 1/14/11



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Terrence W. Boyle, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title